JOC The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Article

pubs.acs.org/joc

Structure–Reactivity Relationship of Trifluoromethanesulfenates: Discovery of an Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolating Reagent

Xinxin Shao, Chunfa Xu, Long Lu,* and Qilong Shen*

Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A family of electrophilic trifluoromethanesulfenates was prepared. Structure–reactivity relationship studies showed that the substituted groups on the aryl ring of the trifluoromethylthiolating reagent did not have an obvious influence on their reactivities. A simplified electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** was then identified that can react with a wide range of nucleophiles such as Grignard reagents, arylboronic acids, alkynes, indoles, β-ketoesters, oxindoles, and sodium sulfinates under mild reaction conditions. A variety of functional groups were tolerated under these conditions.



INTRODUCTION

In 2013, we reported the preparation of an air- and moisture-stable trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1a**, which was isolated as a colorless liquid with a boiling point 151–153 °C. Reagent **1a** was characterized by ^{1}H, ^{13}C, and ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy as well as elemental analysis. Reagent **1a** is a powerful trifluoromethylthiolating reagent that allows the trifluoromethylthiolation of a variety of nucleophiles such as aryl-, vinyl-, and alkylboronic acids, alkynes, indoles, carbonyl derivatives such as β-ketoesters, aldehydes, or amides.[1] In addition, a quinine-catalyzed highly enantioselective trifluoromethylthiolation of β-ketoesters and oxindoles with reagent **1a** was achieved under mild conditions.[2] Furthermore, using reagent **1a** as the radical trap, a silver-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylthiolation of secondary and tertiary aliphatic carboxylic acids in aqueous emulsion was also described.[3] The structure of reagent **1a** was initially proposed to be a trifluoromethylthio-substituted hypervalent iodine reagent. Very recently, Buchwald and coworkers[4] revised the structure of the reagent as a trifluoromethanesulfenate **1b**, based on a combination of spectroscopic techniques, derivatization experiments, and the crystal sponge method (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Electrophilic trifluoromethylating reagent: initial proposed structure **1a** and revised structure **1b**.

One question that arose from the structure revision is the role of the iodide atom for the reactivity of the reagent **1**. One possible scenario is that structure **1a** and **1b** may exist as a tautomeric equilibrium where structure **1b** is the major form. If **1a** is much more reactive than **1b** when a nucleophile reacts with the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent, the reaction might proceed via nucleophilic substitution with structure **1a** and the equilibrium might then shift to the right (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Tautomeric equilibrium between **1a** and **1b** and one possible reaction pathway when reagent **1** reacts with nucleophiles.

To probe if this is the case and the role of the iodide for the reactivity of the trifluoromethylthiolating reagent, we synthesized a family of substituted trifluoromethanesulfenates and studied their structure–reactivity relationship (SAR). We found that substituted trifluoromethanesulfenates with or without iodide atom showed similar reactivities toward a variety of

Received: November 20, 2014
Published: January 26, 2015

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012–3021

nucleophiles. Subsequent studies showed that a simplified trifluoromethanesulfenate **1c**, which is shelf stable and easily handled, can be used as an equally effective electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent as **1b**. Herein, we disclose these findings and report the scope of the trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** with a variety of different nucleophiles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Preparation of Substituted Electrophilic Trifluoromethanesulfenates. The substituted trifluoromethanesulfenates were readily synthesized from corresponding tertiary alcohols **2a−h** with trifluoromethylthiolated saccharin, an electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **3** developed in our own group.[5] Typically, the tertiary alcohols were converted to the trifluoromethanesulfenates in good to excellent yields within 5 min at room temperature using Et_3N as the base (Table 1). Notably, these reactions can be easily scaled up

Table 1. Preparation of Substituted Trifluoromethanesulfenates[a]



[a]Reaction conditions: alcohol (6.0 mmol), reagent **3** (7.8 mmol), Et_3N (2.0 mL) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) at room temperature for 5 min. Isolated yield.

without loss of the yields. For example, reaction of 2-phenylpropan-2-ol (20 mmol) with reagent **3** generated the trifluoromethanesulfenate **1c** in 80% yield on 3.8 g scale. Alternatively, trifluoromethanesulfenate **1c** could be synthesized by reaction of lithium 2-phenylpropan-2-olate and trifluoromethylthiolated phthalimide **4** in 85% yield on a 2.0 g scale under mild conditions (eq 1).[6] These substituted



trifluoromethanesulfenates are bench-stable compounds except for compound **1g**. They are usually stored at 0−4 °C, and no obvious decomposition was observed for at least 5 months as determined by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy. Compound **1g** is much less stable since it was found that compound **1g** was completely decomposed when we tried to purify it by flash chromatography.

2. Structure−Reactivity Relationship Studies of the Trifluoromethanesulfenates. With reagents **1b−i** in hand, we began to study their structure−reactivity relationship (SAR) with a variety of different nucleophiles such as aryl and alkyl boronic acids,[7] β-ketoester,[1] indole,[8] alkyne, 2-phenyloxindole[9] and 1-adamamtame carboxylic acid[3] under the previously optimized conditions, and the results were summarized in Table 2. Interestingly, the SAR studies showed that in most cases, trifluoromethanesulfenates with or without iodide displayed similar reactivities when reacted with the same nucleophile under the same reaction conditions. These results clearly indicated that the iodide in reagent **1b** does not play an important role for the high reactivity of the reagent and the scenario described in Figure 2 is likely not going to take place. Interestingly, these studies also showed reagents **1b**, **1c**, **1d**, and **1f** showed different reactivities from reagents **1g**, **1h**, and **1i** when reacted with some nucleophiles. For example, in the presence of the copper catalyst, reactions of 2-phenylethyl boronic acid with trifluoromethanesulfenates **1b**, **1c**, **1d** or **1f** generated the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated products in 80%, 74%, 70%, and 70%, respectively, while the same reaction with reagent **1g**, **1h**, and **1i** gave the products in trace, 37%, and 52% yields respectively (Table 2, entry 2). Similar trends were also observed when these reagents were subjected to the conditions of the silver-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylthiolation with 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (Table 2, entry 7). Notably, it was discovered that reagent **1g** is less thermally stable than other reagents. Heating of reagent **1g** in 1,2-dichloroethane at 120 °C for 12 h led to complete decomposition as determined by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy. The low yield for the reaction of reagent **1g** with indole in the presence of 10 mol % of camphorsulfonic acid may also due to the low stability of the reagent in the presence of the Brønsted acid. Considering the easy availability of the starting materials, the cost, and the atom economy of the reagent, we chose reagent **1c**, which is shelf stable and easily handled, as an equally effective electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent as **1b**.

3. Scope of Reagent 1c with Different Nucleophiles. *3.1. Reactions of Reagent 1c with Grignard Reagents.* Trifluoromethylthiolated arenes are an important structural motif found in many drug molecules and agrochemicals.[10] As a result, development of efficient methods for the preparation of trifluoromethylthiolated arenes is of great interest in the field of medicinal chemistry and argochemistry.[11,12] One straightforward way for the synthesis of the trilfluoromethylthiolated arenes is direct trifluoromethylthiolation of Grignard reagents with electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent, which has been previously reported by Billard and co-workers.[13] It was found that reactions of a variety of Grignard reagents with electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** occurred smoothly in THF to give the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated arenes in good to excellent yields. The reactions are facile, and full conversions were observed after 3 h at room temperature (Table 3). Grignard reagents with functional groups such as chloride or methoxy group also gave the corresponding products in good yields.

3.2. Reactions of Reagent 1c with Arylboronic Acids. Even though Grignard reagents react with reagent **1c** under mild conditions, there is one drawback of using Grignard reagents for the preparation of trifluoromethylthiolated arenes. In general, Grignard reagents are incompatible with various functional groups. To address this disadvantage of using Grignard reagents, we previously have developed a copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of arylboronic acids with

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

Table 2. Structure–Reactivity Relationship Studies of the Trifluoromethanesulfenates with Different Nucleophiles[a]

		Trifluoromethanesulfenates							
		1b	**1c**	**1d**	**1e**	**1f**	**1g**	**1h**	**1i**
1[b]		99%	57%	57%	54%	81%	70%	81%	52%
2[c]		80%	74%	70%	21%	70%	trace	37%	52%
3[d]		98%	95%	92%	99%	91%	99%	94%	95%
4[e]		99%	92%	94%	59%	57%	23%	57%	70%
5[f]		99%	98%	73%	90%	92%	86%	80%	36%
6[g]		85%	85%	85%	99%	77%	88%	79%	91%
7[h]		98%	95%	94%	68%	96%	trace	50%	75%

[a]Yields were determined by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy with benzotrifluoride as an internal standard [b]Reaction conditions: 4-biphenylboronic acid (0.13 mmol), electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.1 mmol), $Cu(MeCN)_4PF_6$ (10 mol %), 2,2′-bipyridine (20 mol %), and K_2CO_3 (2.0 equiv) in diglyme (0.5 mL) at 35 °C for 15 h. [c]Reaction conditions: alkylboronic acid (0.15 mmol), electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.1 mmol), $CuCl_2·2H_2O$ (20 mol %), 2,2′-bipyridine (40 mol %), and K_2CO_3 (2.0 equiv) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) at 120 °C for 12 h. [d]Reaction conditions: β-ketoester (0.1 mmol), electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.2 mmol), DMAP (0.11 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. [e]Reaction conditions: indole (0.1 mmol), electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.11 mmol), camphorsulfonic acid (10 mol %) in 0.5 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane at 40 °C for 20 h. [f]Reaction conditions: alkynes (0.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv), $CuBr(SMe_2)$ (20 mol %), 2,2′-biyridine (40 mol %), K_2CO_3 (2.0 equiv), and electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.1 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) at 80 °C for 14 h. [g]Reaction conditions: oxindole (0.1 mmol), electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.15 mmol), DMAP (0.11 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. [h]Reaction conditions: 1-adamantanecarboxylic acid (0.1 mmol), electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent (0.2 mmol), sodium 1-dodecanesulfonate (0.02 mmol), $AgNO_3$(0.03 mmol), $K_2S_2O_8$ (0.1 mmol) in H_2O (0.5 mL) at 50 °C for 12 h.

Table 3. Substrate Scope for Reaction of Reagent 1c with Grignard Reagents[a]



[a]Reaction conditions: RMgBr (0.5 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.5 mmol), in THF (2.5 mL), 0 °C for 30 min, then room temperature for 3 h. Isolated yield.

reagent **1b** under mild conditions.[1] Likewise, reactions of a variety of electron-rich and electron-deficient arylboronic acids with reagent **1c** gave the corresponding products in good to excellent yields, although the yields for reactions of some arylboronic acids with reagent **1c** were less than those with reagent **1b**. Various functional groups, including ethers, alkenes, ketones, esters, chloride, and iodine, were tolerated under the standard reaction conditions. In addition, reaction of 2-methoxy-3-pyridylboronic acid with reagent **1c** gave the trifluoromethylthiolated pyridine derivative in 58% yield (Table 4, **6h**).

*3.3. Reactions of Reagent **1c** with Alkynes.* Trifluoromethylthiolated alkynes, which could be converted to other functionalized trifluoromethylthioethers, are also important structural motif found in many drug molecules and agrochemicals. In 2012, Qing reported a transition-metal-free oxidative trifluoromethylthiolation of alkynes with moderate yields at room temperature.[14] Billard reported that trifluoromethylthiolated alkynes could be easily accessed from reaction of alkynyllithium with trifluoromethanesulfanamide, an electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent developed in Billard's laboratory.[15] We have previously reported that in the presence of a copper catalyst, reactions of a variety of alkynes with reagent **1b** occurred in good to excellent yields.[1] Under the same conditions, reaction of various alkynes with reagent **1c** at 80 °C after 14 h generated the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated alkynes in good to excellent yields. A wide range of functional groups, including nitro, chloride, ester, fluoride, and bromide, were compatible with the reaction conditions (Table 5, **7a–h**). Aliphatic and heteroalkynes also reacted under these conditions to give the corresponding alkynyl trifluoromethylthioethers in satisfactory yields (Table 5, **7i,j**).

*3.4. Reaction of Reagent **1c** with Indoles.* Indole is among one of the privileged structural motif in biologically active natural products such as amino acids and alkaloids.[16] Development of efficient methods for functionalization including trifluoromethylthiolation of indole is, therefore, of

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012–3021

Table 4. Substrate Scope for Reaction of Reagent 1c with Arylboronic Acids[a]





[a]Reaction conditions: arylboronic acid (0.65 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.5 mmol), $Cu(MeCN)_4PF_6$ (10 mmol %), bpy (20 mmol %), K_2CO_3 (1.0 mmol) in diglyme (2.5 mL) at 35 °C for 15−24 h. Isolated yields.

Table 5. Substrate Scope for Reaction of of Reagent 1c with Terminal Alkynes[a]





[a]Reaction conditions: alkyne (0.60 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.3 mmol), $CuBr·SMe_2$ (20 mol %), bpy (40 mol %), K_2CO_3 (0.60 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1.5 mL) at 80 °C for 14 h. Isolated yields.

great current interests. Notably, Billard and Langlois reported the first Brøsted acid-mediated electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolation of indoles under mild conditions.[13b] In 2013, Shibata described a copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of indoles with an electrophilic trifluoromethanesulfonyl hypervalent iodonium ylide via an in situ reduction of the CF_3SO_2 group to form a trifluoromethylthiolated ammonia salt that was responsible for the trifluoromethylthiolation.[17] It was found that in the presence of 10 mol % of camphorsulfonic acid, reactions of indoles with electron-donating or withdrawing groups with the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** gave the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated indoles in moderate to good yields (Table 6). Reaction of 3-methylindole formed the corresponding 2-trifluoromethylthiolated indole in

Table 6. Substrate Scope for Reaction of Reagent 1c with Indoles[a]





[a]Reaction conditions: indole (0.3 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.33 mmol), catalyst(10 mol %) in 1.5 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane for 20−48 h. Isolated yields.

43% yield (Table 6, **8f**). *N*-Methylindole also reacted under standard conditions to give the corresponding product in 94% yield (Table 6, **8g**).

3.5. Reaction of Reagent ***1c*** *with β-Ketoesters and Oxindoles.* We have previously showed that reactions of *β*-ketoesters and oxindoles with the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1b** occurred in good to excellent yields in the presence of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) as the base.[1,9] The same reaction conditions were applied for the reactions of *β*-ketoesters and oxindoles with reagent **1c**. It was found that reactions of various *β*-ketoesters derived from indanone, tetralone, or 1-benzosuberone gave the corresponding products in good to excellent yields (Table 7, **9a−e**). Likewise, reactions of oxindoles generated the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated products also in good to excellent yields (Table 7, **9f−l**).

3.6. Reaction of Reagent ***1c*** *with Sodium Sulfinates.* Thiosulfonates are a family of valuable compounds that have shown insecticidal activity in azuki bean weevils and rice stem borer larvae.[18] In addition, thiosulfonates can act as a thiotransfer reagent for the preparation of a variety of thiolated compounds.[19] Interestingly, no methods for the preparation of trifluoromethylthiolated sulfonates have been reported previously. It was found that treatment of sodium sulfinates with reagent **1c** in acetic acid after 12 h at room temperature generated the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated sulfonates in good to excellent yields. The reaction was compatible with a variety of functional groups such as chloride, bromide, fluoride, nitrile, nitro, and ester groups (Table 8, **10a−h**). Sodium heteroarylsulfinates also reacted with reagent **1c** under the standard conditions to give the corresponding products in excellent yields (Table 8, **10i,j**). The structure of compound **10j** was further confirmed by X-ray diffraction of its single crystals.

4. Comparasion of the Reactivity of Reagents 1c and 3. Both reagents **1c** and **3** can act as a highly reactive electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent for a wide range of the substrates. Nevertheless, the substrate scope of two reagents is complementary. Reagent **1c** shows better reactivity

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

Table 7. Substrate Scope for Reaction of of Reagent 1c with β-Ketoesters and Oxindoles[a]



[a]Reaction conditions for β-ketoester (0.3 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.6 mmol), DMAP (0.33 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.5 mL), room temperature for 12 h. Reaction conditions for oxindole (0.3 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.45 mmol), DMAP (0.33 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.5 mL), room temperature for 12 h. Isolated yields.

Table 8. Substrate Scope for Reactions of Reagent 1c with Sodium Sulfinates[a]



[a]Reaction conditions: sodium sulfinates (0.75 mmol), reagent **1c** (0.5 mmol), in AcOH (2.5 mL), room temperature for 12 h. Isolated yields.



than reagent **3** in copper-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation of aryl/alkylboronic acids and silver-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylthiolation of aliphatic carboxylic acids. For example, reaction of 2-phenylethylboronic acid with reagent **1c** in the presence of 20 mol % of $CuCl_2·2H_2O$ and 40 mol % of 2,2′-bipyridine as the catalyst occurred in 74% yield after 12 h at 120 °C, while the same reaction using reagent **3** as the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent gave trace amount of the coupled product (eqs 2 and 3). Likewise, silver-catalyzed Hunsdiecker-type decarboxylative trifluoromethylthiolating reaction of adamantine carboxylic acid using reagent **1c** afford the corresponding trifluoromethylthiolated product in 95% yield, while the same reaction using reagent **3** did not generate the trifluoromethylthiolated product (eqs 4 and 5). On the other hand, reagent **3** displays much higher reactivity than reagent **1c** in direct nucleophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reactions such as reactions with alcohols, amines, thiols, and β-ketoesters.[5] For these nucleophiles, no products were observed when reagent **1c** was used as the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagents. One obvious example of the higher reactivity of reagent **3** in direct nucleophilic substitution reaction is that reagent **1c** itself was prepared from reagent **3**. Similarly, reaction of β-ketoester **11** with reagent **3** formed the monotrifluoromethylthiolated product in 75% yield, while the same reaction with reagent **1** was messy and the monotri-

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

fluoromethylthiolated product was observed in less than 5% yield as determined by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy (eqs 6 and 7).

SUMMARY

In this work, we described the preparation of a family of substituted trifluoromethanesulfenates. Structure−reactivity relationship (SAR) studies showed that substituted trifluoromethanesulfenates with or without iodide atom display similar reactivities toward a variety of nucleophiles. As a result, a simplified trifluoromethanesulfenate **1c**, which is shelf stable and easily handled, was identified as an equally effective electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent as **1b**. Reaction of reagent **1c** with various nucleophiles such as aryl Grignard reagents, aryl boronic acids, alkynes, indoles, β-ketoesters, 2-substituted oxindoles, and sodium sulfinates under mild conditions have thus been developed. The ease in preparation, thermal and moisture stability, and broad range of reactivity toward different nucleophiles under mild reaction conditions make reagent **1c** attractive as a general electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent for the incorporation of the trifluoromethylthio group into small molecules. Investigation of reactions of reagent **1c** with other nucleophiles is undergoing currently in our laboratory.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information. All solvents were purified by the standard method. ^{1}H, ^{19}F, and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded on 300, 282, and 100 MHz spectrometers, respectively. ^{1}H NMR and ^{13}C NMR chemical shifts were determined relative to internal standard TMS at δ 0.0 ppm, and ^{19}F NMR chemical shifts were determined relative to $CFCl_3$ as internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm, and coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz (Hz). The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, br = broad. All reactions were monitored by TLC or ^{19}F NMR. Flash column chromatography was carried out using 300−400 mesh silica gel at medium pressure.

Materials. All reagents were received from commercial sources. Pure CuI was freshly prepared under the conditions of ref 20. Solvents were freshly dried and degassed according to the purification handbook *Purification of Laboratory Chemicals* before using.

Synthesis of Tertiary Alcohols. A dry and nitrogen-flushed 25 mL flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a septum was charged with 56.25 mL of 2.0 M MeMgBr in Et_2O. A 40 mL portion of methyl benzoates (50 mmol) in Et_2O was added dropwise over 30 min, and the reaction was allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. The reaction was stirred for overnight and was quenched with an NH_4Cl solution (70 mL contain 10 mL ice water). Et_2O (80 mL) was added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 40 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give the tertiary alcohol as a colorless liquid.

2-(2-Bromophenyl)propan-2-ol.[21] Colorless liquid (6.74 g, 63%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.6. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.59 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.49 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.21 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.01 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.77 (s, 1 H), 1.69 (s, 6 H).

2-(O-Tolyl)propan-2-ol.[22] White solid (4.58 g, 61%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.6. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.48−7.45 (m, 1 H), 7.18−7.16 (m, 3 H), 2.62 (s, 3 H), 1.85 (s, 1 H), 1.67 (s, 6 H).

2-(4-Chlorophenyl)propan-2-ol.[23] Colorless liquid (6.55 g, 77%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.6. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.42 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.82 (s, 1 H), 1.57 (s, 6 H).

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-2-ol.[23] Colorless liquid (5.90 g, 71%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.4. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.41 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.81(s, 3 H), 1.87 (s, 1 H), 1.57 (s, 6 H).

General Procedure for the Preparation of Trifluoromethanesulfenates. *General Method 1.* A 50 mL round-bottomed flask with a magnetic stirrer was charged with alcohols (6.0 mmol), *N*-trifluoromethylthiosaccharin (2.2 g, 7.8 mmol), Et_3N (2.0 mL, 14.4 mmol), and CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. The resulting mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether) to give trifluoromethanesulfenate as a light yellow liquid. The light yellow liquid was further purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: petroleum ether) to give a colorless liquid.

General Method 2. A 50 mL round-bottomed flask with a magnetic stirrer was charged with lithium 2-phenylpropan-2-olate (10 mmol) and trifluoromethylthiolated phthalimide (10 mmol) in 40 mL of CH_2Cl_2. The reaction was stirred at 50 °C and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of the trifluoromethylthiolating reagent. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give reagent **1c** as a colorless liquid (2.0 g, 85% yield).

*((2-Phenylpropan-2-yl)oxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1c**).*[5] Colorless liquid (3.8 g, 80%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.42−7.32 (m, 5 H), 1.70 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ −52.1 (s, 3 F).

*((2-(2-Bromophenyl)propan-2-yl)oxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1d**).* Colorless liquid (1.6 g, 85%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.17 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.86 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −51.5 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 141.8, 136.2, 130.7 (q, J = 312.9 Hz), 129.8, 128.6, 126.0, 121.4, 87.6, 26.6. IR (KBr): ν = 2990, 2935, 1590, 1566, 1470, 1386, 1368, 1278, 1251, 1124, 1048, 1023, 933, 585, 756, 724 cm^{-1}. Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{10}F_3OBrS$: C, 38.11; H, 3.20. Found: C, 37.72; H, 3.12.

*((2-(O-Tolyl)propan-2-yl)oxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1e**).* Colorless liquid (1.3 g, 87%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.34−7.32 (m, 1 H), 7.25−7.18 (m, 3 H), 2.58 (s, 3 H), 1.79 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −51.6 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 141.1, 136.9, 133.3, 130.8 (q, J = 312.1 Hz), 128.5, 127.0, 125.9, 87.9, 27.4 (q, J = 1.4 Hz), 21.7. IR (KBr): ν = 2990, 1490, 1458, 1383, 1367, 1293, 1259, 1128, 1108, 1057, 849, 805, 761, 726, 582 cm^{-1}. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{13}F_3OS$: C, 52.79; H, 5.24. Found: C, 52.69; H, 5.21.

*((2-(4-Chlorophenyl)propan-2-yl)oxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1f**).* Colorless liquid (1.4 g, 86%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.37 (s, 4 H), 1.71 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −51.6 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 142.9, 135.3, 130.6 (q, J = 312.9 Hz), 128.9, 128.7, 87.2, 27.6. IR (KBr): ν = 2990, 2935, 1590, 1566, 1470, 1386, 1368, 1278, 1251, 1124, 1048, 1023, 933, 585, 756, 724 cm^{-1}. Anal. Calcd for $C_{10}H_{10}F_3OClS$: C, 44.37; H, 3.72. Found: C, 44.19; H, 3.68.

*((2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)propan-2-yl)oxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1g**).* Colorless liquid (1.5 g, 92%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.6. ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.36 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H), 1.71 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −52.1 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (125.0 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 136.3, 130.8 (q, J = 313.0 Hz), 127.2, 126.8, 113.9, 86.4, 55.4, 27.6. IR (KBr): ν = 2692, 2837, 1608, 1582, 1513, 1465, 1442, 1413, 1366, 1291, 1252, 1155, 1113, 1038, 829 cm^{-1}. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}H_{13}F_3O_2S$: C, 49.62; H, 4.92. Found: C, 49.75; H, 5.19.

*(1,1-Diphenylethoxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1h**).* Colorless liquid (1.2 g, 67%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.36−7.33 (m, 10 H), 2.07 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −51.7 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 144.6, 130.8 (q, J = 312.7 Hz), 128.5, 128.2, 127.1, 89.8, 25.9 (q, J = 1.5 Hz). IR (KBr): ν = 3062, 3030, 2992, 1599, 14894, 1447, 1316, 1252, 1221, 1124, 1069, 1043, 1029,

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

589, 792, 698, 627, 582 cm^{-1}. Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}F_3OS$: C, 60.39; H, 4.39. Found: C, 59.94; H, 4.30.

*((2-Methyl-1-phenylpropan-2-yl)oxy)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**1i**).*[5] Colorless liquid (1.2 g, 80%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.36−7.29 (m, 3 H), 7.23−7.21 (m, 2 H), 2.91 (s, 2 H), 1.32 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −52.9 (s, 3 F).

General Procedure for Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolation of Grignard Reagents with Reagent 1c. A dry and nitrogen-flushed 25 mL flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a septum was charged with RMgBr (0.5 mL, 2.0 M in THF, 1.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and reagent **1c** (118.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) in 1.0 mL was added dropwise. After 30 min of stirring, the reaction temperature was increased to room temperature. The reaction was stirred for further 3 h and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** (typically 24 h). Fifteen milliliters of brine and 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

*(4-Chlorophenyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**5a**).*[24] Colorless liquid (90.1 mg, 85%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.60 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.9 (s, 3 F).

*1-Methoxy-4-[(trifluoromethyl)thio]benzene (**5b**).*[12f] Colorless liquid (72.8 mg, 70%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.6. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.58 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.84 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.0 (s, 3 F).

*(4-tert-Butylphenyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**5c**).*[12e] Colorless liquid (87.8 mg, 75%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.0 (s, 3 F).

*Phenyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**5d**).*[13c] Colorless liquid (74.8 mg, 84%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.66 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.50−7.41 (m, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.8 (s, 3 F).

*p-Tolyl(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**5e**).*[12h] Colorless liquid (81.6 mg, 85%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.37 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.3 (s, 3 F).

General Procedure for Copper-Catalyzed Trifluoromeththiolation of Arylboronic Acids with 1c. $Cu(MeCN)_4PF_6$ (18.6 mg, 0.05 mmol, 10 mol %), 2,2′-biyridine (16.0 mg, 0.20 mmol, 20 mol %), arylboronic acid (0.65 mmol, 1.3 equiv), K_2CO_3 (138.2 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and reagent **1c** (118.1 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were placed in an oven-dried Schlenk tube that was equipped with a stirring bar under Ar. The tube was quickly sealed with a rubber stopper, and 2.5 mL of freshly distilled diglyme was added. The reaction was stirred at 35 °C and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of reagent **1c** (typically 15 h). Twenty-five milliliters of distilled water and 10 mL of Et_2O were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with Et_2O (5 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel and further purified by Kugelrohr distillation.

*(4-tert-Butylphenyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**6a**).*[12e] Colorless liquid (105.3 mg, 90%). Eluent: petroleum ether (R_f = 0.9). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 1.35 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.0 (s, 3 F).

*1-Ethenyl-4-[(trifluoromethyl)thio]benzene (**6b**).*[12e] Colorless liquid (48.9 mg, 48%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.61 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.73 (dd, J = 17.6 Hz, J = 11.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.84 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.39 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.9 (s, 3 F).

*(4-Chlorophenyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**6c**).*[24] Colorless liquid (86.9 mg, 82%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.60 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.9 (s, 3 F).

*(4-Iodophenyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**6d**).*[25] Light brown liquid (94.2 mg, 62%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.9. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.74 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.7 (s, 3 F).

*Methyl 4-[(Trifluoromethyl)thio]benzoate (**6e**).*[12e] Colorless liquid (59.5 mg, 55%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10 (R_f = 0.8). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.94 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −41.8 (s, 3 F).

*4-((Trifluoromethyl)thio)benzaldehyde (**6f**).*[26] Colorless liquid (30.9 mg, 30%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 10.07 (s, 1 H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −41.6 (s, 3 F).

*1-(4-Trifluoromethylsulfanylphenyl)ethanone (**6g**).*[1] Colorless liquid (55.0 mg, 55%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.62 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −41.8 (s, 3 F) ppm;

*2-Methoxy-3-[(trifluoromethyl)thio]pyridine (**6h**).*[1] Colorless liquid (60.6 mg, 58%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.4. (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.80 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.97 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.9 (s, 3 F).

General Procedure for Copper-Catalyzed Trifluoromethylthiolation of Terminal Alkynes with 1c. $CuBr(SMe_2)$ (12.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 20 mol %), 2,2′-biyridine (19.2 mg, 0.12 mmol, 40 mol %), alkynes (0.60 mmol, 2.0 equiv), K_2CO_3 (82.9 mg, 0.60 mmol, 2.0 equiv), and reagent **1c** (70.9 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were placed into an oven-dried Schlenk tube that was equipped with a stirring bar under Ar. The tube was quickly sealed with a rubber stopper, and 1.5 mL of freshly distilled solvent was added. The reaction was stirred at 80 °C and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of **3** (typically 14 h). Twenty-five milliliters of distilled water and 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

*((4-Chlorophenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7a**).*[27] Light yellow liquid (48.1 mg, 68%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.43 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.5 (s, 3 F).

*((4-Bromophenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7b**).*[14] Light yellow liquid (55.4 mg, 66%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.49 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (282 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −40.6 (s, 3 F).

*4-(((Trifluoromethyl)thio)ethynyl)benzonitrile (**7c**).*[5] Light yellow liquid (32.0 mg, 47%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.65 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.9 (s, 3 F). MS (EI): m/z 227, 158 (100), 114, 93, 69.

*((4-Methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7d**).*[14] Colorless liquid (48.7 mg, 70%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/100, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.46 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.1 (s, 3 F).

*((4-tert-Butylphenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7e**).*[14] Light yellow liquid (66.6 mg, 86%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.48 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.34 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (282 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.3 (s, 3 F).

*((4-Pentylphenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7f**).*[14] Light yellow liquid (75.1 mg, 92%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.44 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.18 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.64 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 1.66−1.61 (m, 2 H), 1.35−1.31 (m, 4 H), 0.92 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (282 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.3 (s, 3 F).

*((4-Nitrophenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7g**).*[1] Light yellow liquid (53.4 mg, 72%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.23 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.63 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (282 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −42.8 (s, 3 F).

*((3-Fluorophenyl)ethynyl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7h**).*[1] Light yellow liquid (42.2 mg, 64%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.35−7.25 (m, 2 H), 7.18 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.12−7.07 (m, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.4 (s, 3 F), −112.3 (m, 1 F).

*3-((Trifluoromethylthio)ethynyl)thiophene (**7i**).*[14] Colorless liquid (34.2 mg, 55%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.64−7.63 (m, 1 H), 7.31−7.30 (m, 1 H), 7.19−7.17 (m, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.5 (s, 3 F).

*(6-Iodohex-1-yn-1-yl)(trifluoromethyl)sulfane (**7j**).* Light yellow liquid (33.2 mg, 36%). Eluent: petroleum ether, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 3.21 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 2.44 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 1.95−1.90 (m, 2 H), 1.73−7.67 (m, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (375 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.2 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 128.6 (q, J = 312.1 Hz), 103.0, 58.1 (q, J = 4.3 Hz), 32.4, 28.9, 19.3, 5.8. IR (KBr): ν = 2945, 2865, 2204, 1429, 1327, 1288, 1260, 1212, 1158, 1107, 758, 735 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 308, 261, 159, 139, 79 (100), 70. HRMS: calcd for $C_7H_8F_3SI$ 307.9344, found 307.9340.

General Procedure for Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolation of Indoles with Reagent 1c. Camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) (6.9 mg, 0.03 mmol), indole (0.30 mmol), and reagent **1c** (77.9 mg, 0.33 mmol) were placed into an oven-dried sealed bomb equipped with a stirring bar under Ar. Under a positive flow of argon, 1.5 mL of freshly distilled 1,2-dichloroethane was added. The reaction was stirred at 40 °C and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** (typically 48 h). Fifteen milliliters of brine and 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

*5-Bromo-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole (**8a**).*[8] Yellow liquid (30.1 mg, 40%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.62 (s, 1 H), 7.93 (s, 1 H), 7.54 (s, 1 H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.5 (s, 3 F).

*3-((Trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole-5-carbonitrile (**8b**).*[8] Yellow solid (16.6 mg, 23%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d_6, 293 K, TMS): δ 12.54 (s, 1 H), 8.19 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.09 (s, 1 H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.60 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.2 Hz, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ −44.1 (s, 3 F).

*2-Methyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole (**8c**).*[8] White solid (47.9 mg, 69%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.35 (s, 1 H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.34−7.29 (m, 1 H), 7.24−7.19 (m, 2 H), 2.58 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.9 (s, 3 F).

*Methyl 3-((Trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole-5-carboxylate (**8d**).*[8] White solid (28.9 mg, 35%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d_6, 293 K, TMS): δ 12.36 (s, 1 H), 8.28 (s, 1 H), 8.09 (s, 1 H), 7.84 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.59 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.85 (s, 3 H) ppm. ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ −44.2 (s, 3 F).

*2,5-Dimethyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole (**8e**).*[8] White solid (69.8 mg, 95%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.22 (s, 1 H), 7.50 (s, 1 H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H), 2.49 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.5 (s, 3 F).

*3-Methyl-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole (**8f**).*[8] White solid (29.8 mg, 43%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.13 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.38−7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.17 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.47 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −43.0 (s, 3 F).

*1-Methyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1H-indole (**8g**).*[8] White solid (65.2 mg, 94%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.83 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.39−7.31 (m, 4 H), 3.82 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −44.9 (s, 3 F).

General Procedure for Electrophilic α-Trifluoromethylthiolation of β-Keto Esters with Reagent 1c. β-Keto esters (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv), DMAP (40.3 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.1 equiv), and reagent **1c** (106.3 mg, 0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were placed into an oven-dried Schlenk tube that was equipped with a stirring bar under Ar. The tube was quickly sealed with a rubber stopper, and 1.5 mL of freshly distilled CH_2Cl_2 was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of **1c** (typically 12 h). Twenty-five milliliters of distilled water and 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

General Procedure for Electrophilic α-Trifluoromethylthiolation of Oxindoles with Reagent 1c. Oxindoles (0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv), DMAP (40.3 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.1 equiv), and reagent **1c** (106.3 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were placed into an oven-dried Schlenk tube that was equipped with a stirring bar under Ar. The tube was quickly sealed with a rubber stopper, and 2.5 mL of freshly distilled CH_2Cl_2 was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of **1c** (typically 12 h). Twenty-five milliliters of distilled water and 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

*Methyl 6-Methyl-1-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2- carboxylate (**9a**).* Light yellow liquid (86.6 mg, 95% yield). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.61 (s, 1 H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.13 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 3.60 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.42 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −37.3 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 195.0, 167.6, 149.3, 138.9, 138.1, 133.2, 130.0 (q, J = 309.9 Hz), 126.1, 125.4, 63.9, 54.4, 40.2, 21.2. IR (KBr): ν = 2958, 1745, 725, 1618, 1587, 1495, 1435, 1281, 1252, 1154, 1112, 1027, 864, 758 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 304, 245, 203, 171 (100), 69, 51, 39. HRMS: calcd for $C_{13}H_{11}SO_3F_3$ 304.0370, found 304.0379.

*Adamantan-1-yl 5-Fluoro-1-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (**9b**).*[1] Light yellow liquid (116.9 mg, 91% yield). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.49−7.37 (m, 3 H), 4.00 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.58 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.14 (s, 3 H), 2.02 (s, 6 H), 1.61 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −36.9 (s, 3 F), −112.7 (m, 1 F).

*Methyl 5,6-Dimethoxy-1-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-2,3-dihydro-1H-indene-2-carboxylate (**9c**).*[1] White solid (94.6 mg, 90% yield). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.17 (s, 1 H), 6.90 (s, 1 H), 4.08 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.98 (s, 3 H), 3.88 (s, 3 H), 3.77 (s, 3 H), 3.55 (d, J = 17.6 Hz, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −37.5 (s, 3 F).

*Adamantan-1-yl 5-Methoxy-1-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro naphthalene-2-carboxylate (**9d**).*[2] White solid (111.7 mg, 82% yield). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/5, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (s, 3 H), 3.10−2.94 (m, 3 H), 2.47−2.39 (m, 1 H), 2.11 (s, 3 H), 2.01−1.93

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

(m, 6 H), 1.59 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −35.6 (s, 3F).

*Adamantanyl 8-Methoxy-1-oxo-2-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (**9e**).*[2] White solid (114.2 mg, 85% yield). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/5, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.47 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.4, 1 H), 7.10 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 3.21−3.12 (m, 1 H), 3.07−2.96 (m, 2 H), 2.49−2.48 (m, 1 H), 2.13 (s, 3 H), 2.12 (s, 6 H), 1.61 (s, 6 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −35.5 (s, 3 F).

*tert-Butyl 3-Methyl-2-oxo-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)indoline-1-carboxylate (**9f**).*[2] Light yellow liquid (78.1 mg, 75%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.61 (s, 1 H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (td, J = 8.0 Hz, 0.8 Hz 1 H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (s, 3 H), 1.64 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.4 (s, 3 F).

*tert-Butyl 2-Oxo-3-phenyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)indoline-1-carboxylate (**9g**).* Light yellow liquid (101.9 mg, 83%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.58−7.52 (m, 3 H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.37−7.31 (m, 4 H), 1.63 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.8 (s, 3 F).

*tert-Butyl 5-Chloro-2-oxo-3-phenyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-indoline-1-carboxylate (**9h**).* White solid (107.7 mg, 81%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10 (R_f = 0.7). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.07 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.50−7.48 (m, 3 H), 7.38−7.31 (m, 4 H), 1.62 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.7 (s, 3 F).

*tert-Butyl 5-Bromo-2-oxo-3-phenyl-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-indoline-1-carboxylate (**9i**).* Colorless liquid (124.2 mg, 85%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.89 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.69 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (dd, J = 5.0, 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.46−7.34 (m, 3 H), 1.60 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.7 (s, 3 F).

*tert-Butyl 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-oxo-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-indoline-1-carboxylate (**9j**).* Colorless liquid (115.3 mg, 90%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.98 (dd, J = 8.2, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 7.53−7.51 (m, 2 H), 7.40−7.38 (m, 3 H), 7.28−7.28 (s, 1 H), 7.17 (t, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.62 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.7 (s, 3 F), −116.4 (m, 1 F).

*tert-Butyl 3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2-oxo-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-indoline-1-carboxylate (**9k**).* Light yellow liquid (116.6 mg, 91%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.98 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.57−7.52 (m, 3 H), 7.49−7.45 (m, 1 H), 7.34 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.62 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.9 (s, 3 F), −111.6 (m, 1 F).

*tert-Butyl 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-oxo-3-((trifluoromethyl)thio)-indoline-1-carboxylate (**9l**).* Colorless liquid (117.2 mg, 89%). Eluant: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{11}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.96 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.47−7.32 (m, 3 H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.87 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 3.78 (s, 3 H), 1.62 (s, 9 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −39.0 (s, 3 F).

General Procedure for Electrophilic Trifluoromethylthiolation of Sodium Sulfinates with Reagent 1c. Sodium sulfinates (0.75 mmol) and reagent **1c** (118.2 mg, 0.5 mmol) were placed into an oven-dried sealed bomb equipped with a stirring bar under Ar. Under a positive flow of argon, 2.5 mL of AcOH was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature and monitored by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy until the disappearance of the electrophilic trifluoromethylthiolating reagent **1c** (typically 12 h). Fifteen milliliters of brine and 30 mL of Et_2O were added, and the organic phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with water (3 × 10 mL), and the combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in vacuo. The product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) Benzenesulfonothioate (**10a**).* Colorless liquid (115.0 mg, 95%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.01 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.71(t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.40 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 144.7, 135.4, 129.8, 127.7, 127.5 (q, J = 313.6 Hz). IR (KBr): ν = 3071, 1582, 1477, 1449, 1363, 1162, 1100, 1072, 753, 682, 589, 556, 538 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 242, 141, 77 (100), 69, 51, 39. HRMS: calcd for $C_7H_5S_2O_2F_3$ 241.9683, found 241.9681.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-Methoxybenzenesulfonothioate (**10b**).* Colorless liquid (117.8 mg, 87%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.7. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.03 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2 H), 3.91 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.70 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 165.1, 136.2, 130.5, 127.7 (q, J = 312.9 Hz), 114.9, 56.1. IR (KBr): ν = 2948, 2847, 1593, 1577, 1496, 1463, 1359, 1315, 1270, 1154, 1104, 1074, 1025, 835, 802, 660, 626, 582, 556, 534 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 272, 247, 219, 171 (100), 155, 123, 107, 92, 77, 63, 50, 38. HRMS: calcd for $C_8H_7S_2O_3F_3$ 271.9789, found 271.9791.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-Iodobenzenesulfonothioate (**10c**).* Colorless liquid (161.9 mg, 88%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.98 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.3 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 144.4, 139.2, 128.9, 127.3 (q, J = 315.7 Hz), 103.8. IR (KBr): ν = 1565, 1387, 1363, 1274, 1170, 1096, 1071, 1054, 1006, 817, 729, 623, 595, 548 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 368, 267 (100), 203, 127, 93, 76, 69, 50. HRMS: calcd for $IC_7H_4S_2O_2F_3$ 367.8650, found 367.8647.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 3-Chlorobenzenesulfonothioate (**10d**).* Colorless liquid (124.2 mg, 90%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.98 (s, 1 H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.57 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.2 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 146.1, 136.1, 135.5, 132.0, 131.1, 127.3 (q, J = 313.6 Hz), 125.9. IR (KBr): ν = 3093, 1578, 1462, 1413, 1367, 1166, 1124, 1095, 1072, 792, 761, 673, 599, 564, 539, 507 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 276, 248, 203, 175, 111 (100), 75, 69, 50. HRMS: calcd for $ClC_7H_4S_2O_2F_3$ 275.9293, found 275.9295.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-Nitrobenzenesulfonothioate (**10e**).* Colorless liquid (71.7 mg, 50%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/3, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.47 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −37.9 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 151.4, 149.5, 129.3, 127.1 (q, J = 314.2 Hz), 125.1. IR (KBr): ν = 3109, 3070, 2874, 1608, 1537, 1403, 1348, 1313, 1163, 1096, 1070, 1012, 965, 854, 761, 745, 734, 624, 599, 552 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 287, 271, 228, 186 (100), 122, 92, 76, 69, 50. HRMS: calcd for $NC_7H_4S_2O_4F_3$ 286.9534, found 286.9537.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-Bromobenzenesulfonothioate (**10f**).* Colorless liquid (145.5 mg, 91%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 7.86 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.1 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 143.7, 133.2, 131.0, 129.2, 127.3 (q, J = 313.5 Hz). IR (KBr): ν = 1571, 1470, 1392, 1366, 280, 1170, 1100, 1073, 1009, 761, 739, 624, 598, 553 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 322, 282, 219 (100), 155, 108, 75, 69, 50. HRMS: calcd for $C_7H_4O_2F_3S_2Br$ 319.8788, found 319.8784.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-Fluorobenzenesulfonothioate (**10g**).* Colorless liquid (120.9 mg, 93%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.06−8.02 (m, 2 H), 7.31−7.26 (m, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.4 (s, 3 F), −99.77 (m, 1 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 166.6 (d, J = 260.0 Hz), 140.8, 131.0 (d, J = 9.6 Hz), 127.4 (q, J = 315.6 Hz), 117.3 (d, J = 23.6 Hz). IR (KBr): ν = 1589, 1492, 1409, 1366, 1295, 1246, 1155, 1101, 1072, 841, 816, 761, 658, 582, 556, 520 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 259.9, 207, 159 (100), 95, 75, 69, 50. HRMS: calcd for $C_7H_4O_2F_4S_2$ 259.9589, found 259.9588.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 4-Cyanobenzenesulfonothioate (**10h**).* Colorless liquid (113.5 mg, 85%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/5, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.12 (d, J

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021

= 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.1 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 148.0, 133.6, 128.4, 127.0 (q, J = 314.9 Hz), 118.9, 116.8. IR (KBr): ν = 3114, 3071, 3041, 2237, 1398, 1369, 1357, 1307, 1292, 1185, 1154, 1099, 1068, 1016, 968, 837, 795, 762, 714, 627, 576, 559, 537, 498 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 267, 228, 166, 102 (100), 75, 69, 50. HRMS: calcd for $C_8H_4O_2F_3S_2N$ 266.9636, found 266.9632.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) Pyridine-3-sulfonothioate (**10i**).* Colorless liquid (103.3 mg, 85%). Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/10, R_f = 0.8. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 9.18 (s, 1 H), 8.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 8.26 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.58 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.3 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 155.4, 148.4, 141.6, 135.4, 127.2 (q, J = 313.6 Hz), 124.4. IR (KBr): ν = 1574, 1565, 1418, 1367, 1170, 1106, 1082, 1033, 1016, 761, 739, 696, 618, 596, 557, 541 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 242.9, 142 (100), 78, 69, 51. HRMS: calcd for $C_6H_4O_2F_3S_2N$ 242.9636, found 242.9631.

*S-(Trifluoromethyl) 3-Methylquinoline-8-sulfonothioate (**10j**).* White solid (149.3 mg, 97%). Mp: 133−134 °C. Eluent: ethyl acetate/petroleum ether = 1/5, R_f = 0.5. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 8.95 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.35 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.09 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 8.05 (s, 1 H), 7.62 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (s, 3 H). ^{19}F NMR (376 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ −38.3 (s, 3 F). ^{13}C NMR (100.7 MHz, $CDCl_3$, 293 K, TMS): δ 153.7, 141.2, 140.3, 135.4, 135.2, 133.1, 129.6, 129.2, 128.0 (q, J = 313.6 Hz), 125.3, 18.8. IR (KBr): ν = 1574, 1565, 1418, 1367, 1170, 1106, 1082, 1033, 1016, 761, 739, 696, 618, 596, 557, 541 cm^{-1}. MS (EI): m/z 307, 243, 138, 206, 174, 142 (100), 115, 89, 77, 69, 51. HRMS: calcd for $C_{11}H_9O_2F_3S_2N$ (M + H) 308.0021, found 308.0023.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

NMR spectra of all products and X-ray data of single crystal **10j** (CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: lulong@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

*E-mail: shenql@mail.sioc.ac.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB821600), National Natural Science Foundation of China (21172245/21172244/21372247/21421002), and the SIOC.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Shao, X.-X.; Wang, X.-Q.; Yang, T.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 3457.

(2) Wang, X.; Yang, T.; Cheng, X.-Q.; Shen, Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12860.

(3) Hu, F.; Shao, X.-X.; Zhu, D.-H.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6105.

(4) Vinogradova, E. V.; Müller, P.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 3125.

(5) Xu, C.-F.; Ma, B.-Q.; Shen, Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 9316.

(6) To the best of our knowledge, only two other methods for the preparation of trifluoromethanesulfenates have been reported previously: (a) Andreades, S. US3081350, 1963. (b) Ceacareanu, D.; Gerstenberger, M. R. C.; Haas, A. *Chem. Ber.* **1983**, *116*, 3325.

(7) Shao, X.-X.; Liu, T.-F.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 4738.

(8) Ma, B.-Q.; Shao, X.-X.; Shen, Q. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2015**, *171*, 73.

(9) Yang, T.; Shen, Q.; Lu, L. *Chin. J. Chem.* **2014**, *32*, 678.

(10) (a) Leo, A.; Hansch, C.; Elkins, D. *Chem. Rev.* **1971**, *71*, 525. (b) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1991**, *91*, 165. (c) Filler, R. *Biomedical Aspests of Fluorine Chemistry*; Kodansha: Tokyo, 1982. (d) Yagupolskii, L. M.; Ilchenko, A. Y.; Kondratenko, N. V. *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **1974**, *43*, 32. (e) Becker, A. *Inventory of Industrial Fluoro-Biochemicals*; Eyrolles: Paris, 1996.

(11) (a) Boiko, V. N. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *6*, 880. (b) Mantear, B.; Pazenok, S.; Vors, J.-P.; Leroux, F. R. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2010**, *131*, 140. (c) Tlili, A.; Billard, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 6818. (d) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 8214. (e) He, W.-M.; Weng, Z.-Q. *Progress in Chem.* **2013**, *25*, 1071. (f) Toulgoat, F.; Alazet, S.; Billard, T. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, 2415.

(12) Selected methods for the formation of trifluoromethylthiolated arenes: (a) Chen, Q.-Y.; Duan, J.-X. *Chem. Commun.* **1993**, 918. (b) Kieltsch, I.; Eisenberger, P.; Togni, A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 754. (c) Teverovskiy, G.; Surry, D. S.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7312. (d) Zhang, C.-P.; Vicic, D. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 183. (e) Chen, C.; Xie, Y.; Chu, L.-L.; Wang, R.-W.; Zhang, X.-G.; Qing, F.-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 2492. (f) Zhang, C.-P.; Vicic, D. A. *Chem.—Asian J.* **2012**, *7*, 1756. (g) Tran, L. D.; Popov, I.; Daugulis, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 18237. (h) Weng, Z.; He, W.; Chen, C.; Lee, R.; Dan, D.; Lai, Z.; Kong, D.; Yuan, Y.; Huang, K.-W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 1548. (i) Wang, K.-P.; Yun, S. Y.; Mamidipalli, P.; Lee, D. *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 3205. (j) Zhai, L.; Li, Y.; Yin, J.; Jin, K.; Zhang, R.; Fu, X.; Duan, C. *Tetrahedron* **2013**, *69*, 10262. (k) Rueping, M.; Tolstoluzhsky, N.; Nikolaienko, P. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 14043. (l) Danoun, G.; Bayarmagnai, B.; Grünberg, M. F.; Gooßen, L. J. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 1312. (m) Kang, K.; Xu, C.-F.; Shen, Q. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 294.

(13) (a) Ferry, A. l.; Billard, T.; Langlois, B. R.; Bacque, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 9362. (b) Ferry, A.; Billard, T.; Bacque, E.; Langlois, B. R. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2012**, *134*, 160. (c) Baert, F.; Colomb, J.; Billard, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10382.

(14) Chen, C.; Chu, L.-L.; Qing, F.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 12454.

(15) Alazet, S.; Zimmer, L.; Billard, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *52*, 10814.

(16) (a) *Heterocyclic Scaffolds II: Reactions and Applications of Indoles*; Gribble, G.W., Ed.; Springer: New York, 2010. (b) Cragg, G. M.; Grothaus, P. G.; Newman, D. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, *109*, 3012. (c) Mayr, H.; Kempf, B.; Ofial, A. R. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 66.

(17) Yang, Y.-D.; Azuma, A.; Tokunaga, E.; Yamasaki, M.; Shiro, M.; Shitaba, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 8782.

(18) Konishi, K. *Agric. Boil. Chem.* **1968**, *32*, 678.

(19) Girijavallabhan, V.; Alvarez, C.; Njoroge, F. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 6442.

(20) Dieter, R. K. *J. Am. Chem.Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 4679.

(21) Lodi, M.; Jonnada, K.; Alavala, G. K. R.; Bokka, V. R.; Gedu, S. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 628.

(22) Chui, T. P.; Lin, T. Y; Shunsuke, C. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *9*, 1217.

(23) Miyoshi, N.; Matsuo, T.; Wada, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 4253.

(24) Tang, R.-Y.; Zhong, P.; Lin, Q.-L. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2007**, *128*, 636.

(25) Yagupol'skii, L. M.; Marenets, M. S. *Zh. Obsh. Khim.* **1954**, *24*, 885.

(26) Nuria, R.; Mercedes, M.; Gregorio, A. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 987.

(27) Sheng, J.; Wu, J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 7629.

DOI: 10.1021/jo502645m
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 3012−3021